arXiv:1510.08709v2 [math-ph] 12 Jan 2016

Pieri rules, vertex operators and Baxter Q-matrix *

Antoine Duval and Vincent Pasquier
Institut de Physique Théorique, DSM, CEA, URA2306 CNRS
Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

January 13, 2016

Abstract

We use the Pieri rules to recover the q-boson model and show it is equivalent to a discretized version of the relativistic Toda chain. We identify its semi infinite transfer matrix and the corresponding Baxter Q-matrix with half vertex operators related by an ω-duality transformation. We observe that the scalar product of two higher spin XXZ wave functions can be expressed with a Gaudin determinant.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we establish a correspondence between some lattice integrable models, the q-bosons and the Toda lattice, and multi-variable symmetric orthogonal polynomials. Our starting observation is that the Pieri rules [1] provide a family of commuting transfer matrices which are the main tool to study lattice integrable models. They are the multi-variable generalization of the three terms recursion relations of orthogonal polynomials [2]. Given a family of elementary symmetric functions organized into a generating function $\Omega(u)$, and a basis of symmetric orthogonal polynomials P_λ, they tell us how the product $\Omega(u)P_\mu$ decomposes onto the P_λ-basis. The branching rule of this decomposition $\Omega(u)P_\mu = \sum_\lambda P_\lambda T_{\lambda\mu}(u)$ define a commuting family of transfer matrices and can be interpreted as the eigenvalue equation for $T(u)$.

In favorable cases, the transfer matrix is a product of local Lax operators (L matrices [3]) and one can deduce from it the local Boltzmann weights of

*In honour of Rodney Baxter's 75th birthday.

a lattice model. The lattice model we analyze in this respect is the q-boson model [4] where Bosonic particles occupy the sites of a one dimensional chain. The dynamics is controlled by a transfer matrix which at each transfer time lets the particles simultaneously hop in one direction in between their position and the next occupied one with an intensity controlled by the deformation parameter of the q-bosons. When the chain is closed, the number of particles is conserved, and it can be diagonalized by coordinate Bethe ansatz. The components of its eigenvectors are Hall-Littlewood polynomials P_μ evaluated at roots of the Bethe equations.

We study this model on a semi infinite chain where there is no quantization condition (Bethe equations). We introduce two different dynamics. In one, the particles simultaneously hop to their right with possible creation at the origin. In the other, they hop to their left with possible annihilation at the origin. The corresponding transfer matrices are obtained as the limit of finite size matrices whose commutations relations are dictated by the Yang-Baxter equation. In the limit, these commutations simplify and can be represented with simple Vertex operators as was discovered by by Tsilevich [5]. When the deformation parameter is equal to zero, using the Frobenius correspondence between partitions and Fock space configurations, one recovers a free fermion model. The components of the eigenvectors are the Hall-Littlewood polynomials obeying a Pieri dynamics as described above, generated by the eigenvalue of the Bethe equations.

A remarkable property of the Hall-Littlewood polynomials is the existence of a second Pieri rule (related to the Hall algebra). We repeat the same analyses for its dynamics, relabeling the configurations in terms of a discretized version of the Toda lattice. Unlike the q-bosons case, the Hall-Pieri transfer matrix is not of a Lax type but is instead the Baxter Q-matrix [6] generating the Bäcklund Toda lattice dynamics [7]. We construct it as an alternative to the coordinate Bethe ansatz, to derive the Toda chain Bethe equations. We use its open variant to recover the open Toda chain wave functions in the same way as Gerasimov Lebedev and Oblezin [8]. We identify it with a vertex operator, the matrix elements of which are the branching coefficients of the Hall-Pieri rules and we recover this relation as a matrix element of the Yang-Baxter equation.

The open Toda chain wave functions can be deduced by an ω-duality transformation from the Hall-Littlewood polynomials. We have extensively used the λ-ring formalism [9][10] unifying the two type of Pieri rules and enabling to relate them simply to Cauchy identities.

The q-boson model appears as the infinite spin limit of an XXZ (or six vertex) higher spin chain which can be diagonalized by coordinate Bethe ansatz. In the last section, we observe that the scalar product of two semi

infinite chain wave functions is independent of the spin and can be expressed with a Gaudin determinant [11].

2 The q-bosons

The q-bosons [4][12][13] are defined by their commutation relations:

$$tS\bar{S} - \bar{S}S = t - 1, \tag{1}$$

and are realized with a Weyl pair $\tau, S,\ \tau S = tS\tau$ as:

$$\bar{S} = S^{-1}(1 - \tau). \tag{2}$$

There are two possible Hermitian conjugations which preserve the relations (1) depending on the value of the parameter t, $t^* = t$ or $t^* = t^{-1}$. We shall only consider the first one here $S^\dagger = \bar{S}$, with t a real number between 0 and 1.

S and $\bar{S}$ act on q-bosons states which can be identified with S polynomials, $S^n = |n\rangle$ ($\bar{S}$ equal to 0 when sitting to the right of S^n) with n a nonnegative integer as $\bar{S}|n\rangle = (1 - t^n)|n-1\rangle$, $\tau|n\rangle = t^n|n\rangle$. The scalar product such that $\bar{S}$ is the adjoint of S, ($\bar{\tau} = \tau$) is:

$$\langle n|m\rangle \;\; = \;\; (t)_m \delta_{n,m}, \tag{3}$$

with:

$$(a)_m = (1 - a)\cdots(1 - at^{m-1}). \tag{4}$$

The configurations space of the q-boson spin chain of length N consists in states where sites labeled by k are occupied by m_k q-bosons, $S_0^{m_0} S_1^{m_1} \cdots S_{N-1}^{m_{N-1}}$ with $\sum_k m_k = n$, the total number of Bosons. We can also characterize the state by the sequence of positions of the Bosons ordered decreasingly: $(N - 1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n \geq 0)$, $|(N-1)^{m_{N-1}} \cdots 0^{m_0}\rangle = |\mu_n \cdots \mu_1\rangle$ where the particles labeled i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ forgetting about their Bosonic nature occupies the sites μ_i, $(0 \leq \mu_i \leq N - 1)$ of the chain. The scalar product between two Boson states is then given by:

$$\langle \mu|\nu\rangle \;\; = \;\; \delta_{\mu,\nu} \prod_0^{N-1} m_k!_t. \tag{5}$$

where $(n)!_t = (t)_n$ is the t-factorial.

We shall also consider the trivial representation $S_0 = \bar{S}_0 = 1$, in which case, the occupation number starts at $k = 1,\ \mu_i \geq 1$. Equivalently, the site 0 is occupied by an infinite number of bosons $m_0 = \infty$.

We consider the Lax-Matrix:

$$L_k(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z\bar{S}_k \\ S_k & z \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

where the q-boson creation and annihilation operators $S_k,\ \bar{S}_k$ act independently on spaces labeled k. It obeys the Yang-Baxter equation [3]:

$$R_{12}L^1(u)L^2(v) = L^2(v)L^1(u)R_{12}, \tag{7}$$

with $L^1 = L \otimes 1,\ L^2 = 1 \otimes L.$ and the R matrix acting in the tensor product is:

$$R_{12}(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} a & . & . & . \\ . & b & \bar{c} & \\ . & c & \bar{b} & . \\ . & . & . & a \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a &= ut - v,\ b = u - v,\ \bar{b} = t(u-v), \\ c &= v(t-1),\ \bar{c} = u(t-1). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Everywhere, $. = 0$ in the following. It is straightforward to verify that the relations (8) with L given by (6) are equivalent to the q-boson relations (1) satisfied by $S_i, \bar{S}_i$ which commute for different values of i.

The monodromy matrix:

$$T_N(z) = L_0 L_1 \cdots L_{N-1} \tag{10}$$

takes the form:

$$T_N(z) = \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ S_0 \end{pmatrix} \prod_1^{N-1} (1 + z\bar{S}_{i-1}S_i) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z\bar{S}_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

where the projector π requires we erase S_i and $\bar{S}_i$ in the terms of the z expansion each time they occur in pairs. In other words, π means we set $S_i\bar{S}_i = 1$ in the formal expansion of the above product but do not identify $\bar{S}_i$ with S_i^{-1}. In particular, the transfer Matrix of the periodic chain is:

$$\Lambda_{N,x}(z) = \mathrm{Tr}\ T_N(z)D \tag{12}$$

where D is the matrix:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & . \\ . & x \end{pmatrix} \tag{13}$$

define a commuting family of transfer matrices. It takes the form:

$$\Lambda_{N,x}(z) = \pi(1 + z\bar{S}_0 S_1) \cdots (1 + z\bar{S}_{N-1} S_N), \tag{14}$$

where we require the periodicity condition:

$$S_{N+k} = x S_k. \tag{15}$$

The configuration space consists of decreasing sequences λ ($1 \leq \lambda_i \leq N$), and the matrix elements $\Lambda_{\lambda\mu}$ can be nonzero only when each boson jumps to the right in between his position and the next occupied one: $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \mu_n \leq \lambda_n \leq \mu_{n-1} \cdots \leq \lambda_1 = \lambda_{n+1} + N$.

The one step translation $\mathcal{T}$:

$$\mathcal{T} S_k = S_{k+1} \mathcal{T} \tag{16}$$

commutes with the transfer matrix: $\mathcal{T}\Lambda_{N,x} = \Lambda_{N,x}\mathcal{T}$, and in the n-Boson sector $\mathcal{T}^N = x^n$.

Everywhere, we use the symbol $\check{u}$ to represent the inverse of a variable: $\check{u} = 1/u$. We require:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} &= \check{x}, \\ \bar{z} &= \check{z}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We can rewrite $\pi \cdots (1 + z\bar{S}_i S_{i+1})$ as $\pi \cdots z\bar{S}_i (1 + \check{z} S_i \bar{S}_{i+1}) S_{i+1}$, so that the Hermitian property $\bar{\Lambda}_{N,x}(z) = \check{x}\check{z}^N \Lambda_{N,x}(z)$ holds. In other words, $\Lambda^{\mathrm{H}} = \bar{\Lambda}_{N,X}(z)/\sqrt{xz^N}$ is a Hermitian operator:

$$\bar{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{H}} = \Lambda^{\mathrm{H}}. \tag{18}$$

This transfer matrix can be diagonalized by the coordinate Bethe ansatz. We obtain the eigenvectors and corresponding eigenvalues in the appendix A as a limit of the spin XXZ chain Bethe equations (146).

3 Finite and semi infinite open chains

Here, we consider the q-boson model on an open chain satisfying some specific boundary conditions. Our aim is to let the size of this chain go to infinity

and relate it to some vertex operator constructions [14][15]. The material of this section was essentially discovered in the precursor work of Tsilevich [5], and overlaps with similar constructions [16], [17]. More specifically, the relation between the semi-infinite chain and vertex operators discussed here appears in the recent preprint of Wheeler and Zinn-Justin [18]. We follow the approach of Macdonald [1], to emphasize the connections between the symmetric polynomials and the semi-infinite transfer matrices we introduce and to familiarize the reader with the λ-ring notations.

We take the trivial representation $S_0 = \bar{S}_0 = 1$ for L_0 and the q-boson representation for $L_i,\ i > 0$, so $T_{11} = T_{21},\ T_{12} = T_{22}$. There is no occupation on site 0 and the chain starts at site 1. The configuration space consists of partitions λ ($1 \leq \lambda_i \leq N$). The matrix elements $(T_{11})_{\lambda\mu}$ can be nonzero only when each boson jumps to the right in between his position and the next occupied one, and an additional boson labeled $n+1$ can be injected before the first occupied site: $0 \leq \lambda_{n+1} \leq \mu_n \leq \lambda_n \leq \mu_{n-1} \cdots \leq \lambda_1 \leq N$ (No boson is injected if $\lambda_{n+1} = 0$, and the number of Bosons n increases by one unit otherwise). We denote this condition $\mu \prec \lambda$, or $\lambda - \mu$ is a horizontal strip. Similarly, the matrix elements of T_{12} can be nonzero only when each boson jumps to the left in between his position and the preceding occupied one, and the leftmost boson (at position μ_n) can disappear.

We define A_N and its hermitian conjugated $\bar{A}_N$ by:

$$(A_N, z^{N+1}\bar{A}_N) = ((T_{N+1})_{11}, ((T_{N+1})_{12}) = (1, z)L_1 L_2 \cdots L_N \tag{19}$$

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} A_N(z) &= \pi(1 + zS_1)(1 + zS_2\bar{S}_1)\cdots(1 + zS_N\bar{S}_{N-1}) \\ \bar{A}_N(z) &= \pi(1 + \check{z}\bar{S}_1)(1 + \check{z}\bar{S}_2 S_1)\cdots(1 + \check{z}\bar{S}_N S_{N-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Some commutation relations which follow from the RLL relation (7) are:

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{11}(u), T_{11}(v)] &= [T_{12}(u), T_{12}(v)] = 0 \\ aT_{11}(u)T_{12}(v) &= bT_{12}(v)T_{11}(u) + cT_{11}(v)T_{12}(u) \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

independently of N.

We consider a semi infinite chain obtained by taking the $N \to \infty$ limit of the monodromy matrix acting in a space with a finite number of q-bosons. In the limit $N \to \infty$ of the finite N Hilbert space, we define the matrices (which coincide with the fermion limit of [15] for $t = 0$):

$$(\Gamma_-, \Gamma_+)(z) = \lim_{N\to\infty}(A_N, \bar{A}_N). \tag{22}$$

Γ_- is defined for $|z| < 1$ and coincides with the limit of $A_N(z)$; Γ_+ for $|z| > 1$ coincides with the limit of $\bar{A}_N(z)$. They obey the same hermitian property as $A_N, \bar{A}_N$:

$$\bar{\Gamma}_-(z) = \Gamma_+(z). \tag{23}$$

Expressed in terms of $A, \bar{A}$, the second line of (21) rewrites:

$$aA_N(u)\bar{A}_N(v) = b\bar{A}_N(v)A_N(u) + c(u/v)^{N+1}A_N(v)\bar{A}_N(u). \tag{24}$$

In the $N \to \infty$ limit, the third term behaves as $|u/v|^N << 1$ compared to the two others. So, the commutations of $\Gamma_\pm$ in the $N \to \infty$ limit are:

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma_-(v), \Gamma_-(v')] &= [\Gamma_+(u), \Gamma_+(u')] = 0 \\ \Gamma_+(u)\Gamma_-(v) &= \frac{1 - tv/u}{1 - v/u}\Gamma_-(v)\Gamma_+(u) \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $|u|, |u'| > 1$ and $|v|, |v'| < 1$.

Γ_+, Γ_- respectively act as the identity on the left and right vacuum $\langle .|$ annihilated by S_i and $|.\rangle$ annihilated by $\bar{S}_i$.

The expression of the matrix elements of $\Gamma_-(z)$ are given by:

$$\Gamma_-(z)|\mu\rangle = \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda} z^{|\lambda - \mu|}\psi_{\lambda/\mu}|\lambda\rangle \tag{26}$$

where:

$$\psi_{\lambda/\mu} = \prod_{m_j(\lambda) = m_j(\mu) - 1} (1 - t^{m_j(\mu)}) \tag{27}$$

agrees with the definition [1] (5.8') p.229.

Similarly, the matrix elements of $\Gamma_+(z)$ are given by:

$$\Gamma_+(z)|\lambda\rangle = \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda} z^{|\lambda - \mu|}\phi_{\lambda/\mu}|\mu\rangle \tag{28}$$

where:

$$\phi_{\lambda/\mu} = \prod_{m_j(\lambda) = m_j(\mu) + 1} (1 - t^{m_j(\lambda)}) \tag{29}$$

coincides with the definition [1] (5.8) p.228.

Define the state:

$$\langle U| = \langle .|\Gamma_+(u_1)\Gamma_+(u_2)\cdots\Gamma_+(u_n) = \langle .|\Gamma_+(U) \tag{30}$$

where $U = \{u_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq n\} = u_1 + \cdots + u_n$, meaning that the order of the u_i is irrelevant (due to the commutations of $\Gamma_+(u_i)$). More generally, we denote $\langle U + V| = \langle U|\Gamma_+(V)$.

We can use the commutation relations (25) to check that $\langle U|$ is a left eigenstate of $\Gamma_-(z)$. Using λ-ring notations [9] [10]:

$$\langle U|\Gamma_-(z) = \Omega_t(\check{U}z)\langle U| \tag{31}$$

where $\check{U} = \check{u}_1 + \cdots + \check{u}_n$, and $\Omega(X)$ is the generating function of the complete symmetric functions [1] (2.5) p 21:

$$\Omega(X) = \prod_{x_k \in X} \frac{1}{1 - x_k} \tag{32}$$

We also use λ-ring notations to define $\Omega_t(X) = \Omega(X(1-t))$. Namely since $\Omega(X \pm Y) = \Omega(X)\Omega(Y)^{\pm 1}$, $\Omega_t(X) = \Omega(X)/\Omega(tX)$.

Putting (26) and (31) together, we obtain the eigenvalue equation:

$$\Omega_t(\check{U}z)\langle U|\mu\rangle = \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda} z^{|\lambda - \mu|}\psi_{\lambda/\mu}\langle U|\lambda\rangle. \tag{33}$$

Let $\Omega_t(z\check{U}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} q_r(\check{U})z^r$ where q_r defines elementary symmetric polynomials of degree r. Define the polynomials $Q_\mu(\check{U})$ symmetric in $\check{U}$ (The symmetry property follows from the commutations of $\Gamma_+(U)$), homogeneous of degree $|\mu|$ from the expansion:

$$\langle U| = \sum_{\lambda} Q_\lambda(\check{U})\langle \bar{\lambda}| \tag{34}$$

where $\langle \bar{\lambda}| = \langle \lambda|/\langle \lambda|\lambda\rangle$ is dual to $|\lambda\rangle$. Since the partitions λ's which occur in (34) all have their length $l(\lambda) \geq |U|$, the polynomial $Q_\lambda(\check{U})$ is null if the number of variables u_i is less than $l(\lambda)$. On the other hand, since $\Gamma_-(0) = 1$, the number of variables in Q_λ is immaterial as long as it is larger or equal to the length of λ and can therefore be sent to infinity.

Expanding (33) in z, yields the decomposition of $q_r Q_\mu$:

$$q_r Q_\mu = \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda, |\lambda - \mu| = r} \psi_{\lambda/\mu} Q_\lambda \tag{35}$$

with $\lambda - \mu$ a horizontal r-strip. It coincides with [1] (5.7') p.229, and allows to identify Q_μ with the Hall-Littlewood polynomials.

Acting with $\Gamma_+(U')$ on a state $\langle\bar{U}|$ (instead of $\langle .|$ as in (30) enables to define another symmetric function $Q_{\lambda/\mu}(\check{U})$ for $\mu \in \lambda$:

$$Q_\lambda(\check{U}+\check{U}') = \sum_{\mu\subset\lambda} Q_{\lambda/\mu}(\check{U})Q_\mu(\check{U}'). \tag{36}$$

Equivalently:

$$\langle\bar{\mu}|\Gamma_+(U) = \sum_{\mu\subset\lambda} Q_{\lambda/\mu}(\check{U})\langle\bar{\lambda}|. \tag{37}$$

By taking the adjoint of (30), we define the state:

$$|V\rangle = \Gamma_-(v_1)\Gamma_-(v_2)\cdots\Gamma_-(v_n)|.\rangle = \Gamma_-(V)|.\rangle = \sum_\lambda P_\lambda(V)|\lambda\rangle \tag{38}$$

where $P_\lambda = Q_\lambda/\langle\lambda|\lambda\rangle$ and $V = \{v_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq m\}$. It is a right eigenvector of $\Gamma_+(z)$:

$$\Gamma_+(z)|V\rangle = \Omega_t(\check{z}V)|V\rangle. \tag{39}$$

More generally,

$$\Gamma_-(v_1)\Gamma_-(v_2)\cdots\Gamma_-(v_n)|\mu\rangle = \Gamma_-(V)|\mu\rangle = \sum_\lambda P_{\lambda/\mu}(V)|\lambda\rangle \tag{40}$$

If we introduce the sequences of partitions: $\lambda_n = \mu \prec \lambda_{n-1}\cdots \prec \lambda_0 = \lambda$ which form the tableaux T of shape $\lambda - \mu$ [1] p.5, and the quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} v^T &= v_1^{|\lambda_0-\lambda_1|}\cdots v_n^{|\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_n|} \\ \psi_T &= \psi_{\lambda_0/\lambda_1}\cdots\psi_{\lambda_{n-1}/\lambda_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Rewriting (40) in matrix form, we obtain the tableau expression of $P_{\lambda/\mu}$ [1] 5.11' p.229:

$$P_{\lambda/\mu}(V) = \sum_T \psi_T v^T \tag{42}$$

where the summation is over all the tableaux T of shape $\lambda - \mu$.

Inserting the identity $1 = \sum_\lambda |\lambda\rangle\langle\bar{\lambda}|$ into the scalar product $\langle U|V\rangle$ yields the Cauchy identity:

$$\langle U|V\rangle = \sum_\lambda Q_\lambda(\check{U})P_\lambda(V) = \Omega_t(\check{U}V). \tag{43}$$

The product $P_\mu P_\nu$ can be decomposed on the P_λ basis:

$$P_\mu P_\nu = \sum_\lambda f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} P_\lambda. \tag{44}$$

We have:

$$\langle \bar{\mu}|\Gamma_+(U)|V\rangle = Q_{\lambda/\mu}(\check{U})P_\lambda(V) = \Omega_t(\check{U}V)P_\mu(V). \tag{45}$$

Using the Cauchy identity to decompose $\Omega_t(\check{U}V)$ and identifying the coefficient of P_λ in the resulting expression, we recover the definition of $Q_{\lambda/\mu}$ [1] (5.2) p.227:

$$Q_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_\nu f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} Q_\nu. \tag{46}$$

We can obtain a more explicit expression of Q_μ by diagonalizing the infinite chain by Bethe Anzats [17] in the sector of n q-bosons. The infinite chain transfer matrix is defined as:

$$A(z) \;=\; \pi \prod_{-\infty}^{-\infty} (1 + zS_{k+1}\bar{S}_k), \tag{47}$$

in which case the sites take integer values $\mu_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ defining a sequence of decreasing integers, $\mu_n \geq \cdots \geq \mu_1$.

The transfer matrix elements have the same form as (26) with no positivity restriction on μ_i:

$$A(z)|\mu\rangle = \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda} z^{|\lambda-\mu|}\psi_{\lambda/\mu}|\lambda\rangle \tag{48}$$

and λ, μ have length n. The Bethe-Lieb left eigenvectors, $\langle R|\mu\rangle = R_\mu$, corresponding to the eigenvalue (31):

$$\sum_\lambda R_\lambda(\check{U})A_{\lambda\mu}(z) = \Omega_t(\check{U}z)R_\mu(\check{U}) \tag{49}$$

has the expression (appendix (A):

$$R_\mu(\check{U}) = \sum_P (\check{u}_1^{\mu_1} \cdots \check{u}_n^{\mu_n} \prod_{i<j} \frac{\check{u}_i - t\check{u}_j}{\check{u}_i - \check{u}_j}) \tag{50}$$

summed over the permutations of the $\check{u}_i$. One recognizes the polynomial $R_\mu(\check{U})$ p. 204 of [1], where we extend its definition to sequences of decreasing integers.

Consider the restriction of (48) to configurations of n q-bosons sitting on non-negative sites, $|0^{m_0}\mu\rangle$ where μ is a partition, and $m_0 = n - l_\mu$. Since $(1+zS_1)$ in $\Gamma_-(z)$ (11) is replaced by $(1+zS_1\bar{S}_0)$ in $T(z)$, they are related by a similarity transform: $T_{\lambda\mu} = (t)_{n-l_\mu}/(t)_{n-l_\lambda}(\Gamma_-)_{\lambda\mu}$ when restricted to these configurations. So, the eigenvectors of $T(z)$ are deduced from those of $\Gamma_-(z)$ by:

$$\frac{(1-t)^n}{(t)_{m_0}} R_{0^{m_0}\mu}(\check{U}) = Q_\mu(\check{U}) \tag{51}$$

where the normalization $(1-t)^n$ is required for Q_μ not to depend on m_0. In this way, we recover the expression of $Q_\mu(\check{U})$ [1] p 211, and $P_\mu(V)$ p 208.

4 The half vertex-operators $\Gamma_{a,\pm}$

In this section, we use more extensively the λ-ring formalism to introduce the vertex operators ω-dual to those of the preceding section. We introduce another Pieri rule and use its branching coefficients to construct a transfer matrix which we shall derive in a different way from its commutation relations in the section (6.2).

The scalar product analogous to (5) on symmetric polynomials:

$$\langle Q_\mu | Q_\nu \rangle = \delta_{\mu,\nu} \prod_{k\geq 1} m_k!_t \tag{52}$$

[1] (4.9) p.225 enables to formally identify the dual states $\langle\bar{\lambda}|$, $|\lambda\rangle$ with the dual polynomials $P_\lambda(X)$, $Q_\lambda(X)$ in an infinite number of variables $|X| = \infty$, so that using the Cauchy relation, (38) rewrites:

$$|V\rangle \;=\; \Omega_t(VX) \tag{53}$$

and the transfer matrix $\Gamma_-(z)$ act multiplicatively as:

$$\Gamma_-(z)\alpha(X) = \Omega_t(zX)\alpha(X). \tag{54}$$

The scalar product can also be defined by its reproducing Kernel [10]:

$$\langle \alpha(X) | \Omega_t(XY) \rangle = \alpha(Y). \tag{55}$$

$\Gamma_+(z)$is defined by the Hermitian condition (23) $\Gamma_+(z) = \bar{\Gamma}_-(z)$, and from $\langle\Gamma_-(z)\alpha|\Omega_t(XY)\rangle = \langle\Omega_t(zX)\alpha|\Omega_t(XY)\rangle = \langle\alpha|\Omega_t((X+z)Y)\rangle$ we deduce $\Gamma_+(z)$ acts by "adding $\check{z}$ to X" [10][19]:

$$\Gamma_+(z)\alpha(X) = \alpha(X + \check{z}). \tag{56}$$

Let us repeat the preceding construction with another Pieri rule defined in [1] p 215. Let $\tilde{\Omega}(X)$ be the generating function of the elementary symmetric functions [1] (2.2) p 19:

$$\tilde{\Omega}(X) = \Omega(-\epsilon X) = \prod_{x_k \in X} (1 + x_k) \tag{57}$$

where the product ϵX means we make the change of variable $x_i \to -x_i$ in X and has a different meaning as $-X$ after 32. Following the conventions of [20] 3.1, let us rename the previous operators $\Gamma_{L,\pm}$, and similarly as in (54), define $\Gamma_{R,\pm}$ as:

$$\Gamma_{R,-}(z)\alpha(X) = \tilde{\Omega}(zX)\alpha(X), \tag{58}$$

and the same argument as above for $\Gamma_{R,+}(z) = \bar{\Gamma}_{R,-}(\check{z})$ yields:

$$\Gamma_{R,+}(z)\alpha(X) = \alpha(X - \epsilon\check{z}/(1-t)). \tag{59}$$

It follows from these relations that $\Gamma_{a,+}(z)$ commutes with $\Gamma_{a',+}(w)$ and acts as the identity on the right vacuum, $\Gamma_{a,-}(z)$ commutes with $\Gamma_{a',-}(w)$ and acts as the identity on the left vacuum. Moreover, the second line of (25) generalizes to:

$$\Gamma_{a_1,+}(u)\Gamma_{a_2,-}(v) = \Gamma_{a_2,-}(v)\Gamma_{a_1,+}(u) \begin{cases} \Omega((1-t)v\check{u}) & a_1 = a_2 = L \\ \tilde{\Omega}(v\check{u}) & a_1 \neq a_2 \\ \Omega((1-t)^{-1}v\check{u}) & a_1 = a_2 = R \end{cases} \tag{60}$$

Let P, Q denote the Hall-Littlewood Polynomials, and P^ω, Q^ω their ω-duals [1] chapter 7, section 5.1:

$$\begin{aligned} P^\omega_{\lambda'}(V) &= Q_\lambda(-\epsilon V/(1-t)) \\ Q^\omega_{\lambda'}(V) &= P_\lambda(-\epsilon V/(1-t)). \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

It is shown in particular that P^ω_λ is the polynomial $P(\lambda, t, 0)$ defined by (4.7) p 322, as the symmetric functions decomposing triangularity: $P^\omega_\lambda = m_\lambda + \sum_{\mu<\lambda} u_{\lambda,\mu} m_\mu$ on the symmetric monomial basis, and orthogonal for the scalar product dual to (55) defined by:

$$\langle \alpha(X) | \Omega(XY/(1-t)) \rangle_\omega = \alpha(Y). \tag{62}$$

The states $|L, V\rangle$, $\langle L, \check{U}|$ are defined in (53), and the states $|R, V\rangle$, $\langle R, \check{U}|$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R, \check{U}| &= \tilde{\Omega}(\check{U}X) = \sum_\lambda P^\omega_{\lambda'}(\check{U}) \langle \bar{\lambda}| \\ |R, V\rangle &= \tilde{\Omega}(VX) = \sum_\lambda Q^\omega_{\lambda'}(V) |\lambda\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Notice that restricting $\check{U}, V$ to N variables has the effect to truncate the summation imposing $\lambda_1 \leq N$, in other words all the sites greater than N are empty.

Let $\lambda'_1 \geq \lambda'_2 \cdots \geq \lambda'_N \geq 0$ define the partition conjugated to the q-boson partition λ: $m_1 = \lambda'_1 - \lambda'_2, \cdots, m_{N-1} = \lambda'_{N-1} - \lambda'_N, m_N = \lambda'_N$.

Let us introduce the following quantities attached to two partitions such that $\mu' \prec \lambda'$ (in other words, $\lambda - \mu$ is a vertical strip) [1] (3.2) p 215:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_{\lambda/\mu} &= \prod_{i\geq 1} \frac{(\lambda'_i - \lambda'_{i+1})!_t}{(\lambda'_i - \mu'_i)!_t(\mu'_i - \lambda'_{i+1})!_t} \\ \phi'_{\lambda/\mu} &= \prod_{i\geq 1} \frac{(\mu'_i - \mu'_{i+1})!_t}{(\lambda'_i - \mu'_i)!_t(\mu'_i - \lambda'_{i+1})!_t}. \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Then, similarly as in (26), (28), the explicit expression of the transfer matrices $\Gamma_{R(z),\pm}$ acting on the semi infinite chains is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{R,+}(z)|\lambda\rangle &= \sum_{\mu'\prec\lambda'} z^{|\lambda-\mu|}\psi'_{\lambda/\mu}|\mu\rangle \\ \Gamma_{R,-}(z)|\mu\rangle &= \sum_{\mu'\prec\lambda'} z^{|\lambda-\mu|}\phi'_{\lambda/\mu}|\lambda\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

We shall derive these expressions from (60) using the Yang-Baxter equation in section (6.2). Unlike $\Gamma_{L,\pm}$, $\Gamma_{R,\pm}$ are not defined through a Lax matrix such as (6), but correspond to spin chains. If we attach a decreasing sequence of nonnegative integers (spins) $\mu'_1 \geq \mu'_2 \cdots \mu'_N$ to the sites of a semi infinite chain, the transfer matrix elements $(\Gamma_{R,-})_{\lambda\mu}$ are nonzero only when each spin μ'_k jumps in between his position and the position of the preceding spin: $\mu'_k \leq \lambda'_k \leq \mu'_{k-1}$, $(\mu'_0 = \infty)$, so that the number of nonzero spins can increase by one at each step. The matrix elements, $\psi'_{\lambda/\mu}, \phi'_{\lambda/\mu}$, are the products of local Boltzmann weights between neighboring spins.

In particular, as in (40) we have:

$$\Gamma_{R,-}(V)|\mu\rangle = \sum_{\lambda} Q^{\omega}_{\lambda'/\mu'}(V)|\lambda\rangle, \tag{66}$$

from which, combining with (65), we obtain the tableau expression for $Q^{\omega}_{\lambda'/\mu'}(V)$ analogous to (42):

$$Q^{\omega}_{\lambda'/\mu'}(V) = \sum_{T} \phi'_T v^T \tag{67}$$

where this time:

$$\phi'_T = \phi'_{\lambda_0/\lambda_1} \cdots \phi'_{\lambda_{n-1}/\lambda_n}. \tag{68}$$

For example, the one and two spin states are equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} |R,\{v_1\}\rangle &= (S_1 v_1)_\infty^{-1} \\ |R,\{v_1,v_2\}\rangle &= (S_1 v_1)_\infty^{-1}(S_1 v_2)_\infty^{-1}(S_2 v_1 v_2)_\infty^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Setting $\mu = 0$ in (66), we obtain $|R,V\rangle$ which is an eigenstate of $\Gamma_{L,+}(z)$:

$$\Gamma_{L,+}(z)|R,V\rangle = \tilde{\Omega}(V\check{z})|R,V\rangle. \tag{70}$$

As it will become clear in the next section, $\Gamma_{L,+}(z)$ is the transfer matrix of the open Toda chain, and the Tableau expression of $|R,V\rangle$ coincides with the "Baxter-Gauss-Givenal" representation of the q-Toda wave functions due to Gerasimov Lebedev and Oblezin [8].

We can also recover the Product rule [1] p 215 by applying $\Gamma_{R,+}(z)$ to $|L,V\rangle$:

$$\Gamma_{R,+}(z)|L,V\rangle = \tilde{\Omega}(V\check{z})|R,V\rangle, \tag{71}$$

and expanding in z:

$$\sum_\lambda \psi'_{\lambda/\mu} P_\lambda = e_r P_\mu, \tag{72}$$

where $\lambda - \mu$ is a vertical r-strip.

5 Equivalence between the q-bosons and a Toda chain

In order to interpret the results of section (4), we find it convenient to rephrase them in the relativistic-Toda dynamics language [21], by demonstrating an equivalence between the two models. We will be mainly concerned with a discretized version discussed in [22][8][23] and recover the expression of their eigenvectors.

For this, we need to to reinterpret the q-bosons configurations as Toda particle positions λ'_i interacting with their nearest neighbors. Define

$$X_k = S_k / S_{k-1} \tag{73}$$

($S_k = X_1 \cdots X_k$), and for $1 \leq k \leq N-1$:

$$\tau_k = x_k / x_{k+1} \tag{74}$$

obeying:

$$x_k X_k = t X_k x_k, \tag{75}$$

and x_k, X_l commute if the labels k and l differ.

Setting:

$$U_k \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_k \\ S_k & . \end{pmatrix}, \tag{76}$$

and:

$$L_k^{\rm Toda} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 1+zX_k & x_k \\ -zX_k x_k^{-1} & . \end{pmatrix}, \tag{77}$$

we have:

$$U_{k-1} L_k^{\rm Toda} = L_{k-1} U_k \tag{78}$$

where L is defined in (6).

Therefore, if we set:

$$T_N^{\rm Toda} = L_1^{\rm Toda} \cdots L_N^{\rm Toda}, \tag{79}$$

we have:

$$U_0 T_N^{\rm Toda} = T_N U_N \tag{80}$$

where T_N is defined in (10).

5.1 The Periodic chain

The q-Toda chain transfer matrix [21] is defined as:

$$\Lambda_{N,n}^{\rm Toda}(z) = {\rm tr}\; T_N^{\rm Toda} D^{\rm Toda} \tag{81}$$

where:

$$D^{\rm Toda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & . \\ . & t^n \end{pmatrix} \tag{82}$$

is equal to the q-boson transfer Matrix $\Lambda_{N,x}(z)$ (12) restricted to the n-Boson sector if we require:

$$D U_0 \;=\; U_N D^{\rm Toda} \tag{83}$$

with D the q-boson twist $D = (1, X)$ (13). Equivalently for:

$$x_{k-N} = x_k t^n \quad , \quad S_{k+N} = x S_k. \tag{84}$$

The periodic-Toda particles are labeled by $k, 1 \leq k \leq N$ and their positions take discrete values: $x_k = t^{\lambda'_k}$ with λ'_k integer satisfying the periodicity conditions:

$$\lambda'_{k+N} = \lambda'_k - n. \tag{85}$$

The Boson occupation numbers are equal to the difference between successive λ's: $m_k = \lambda'_k - \lambda'_{k+1}$, and X_k adds one unit to λ'_k:

$$X_k |\lambda'_l\rangle = \delta_{k,l} |\lambda'_l + 1\rangle. \tag{86}$$

So, the periodic chain configuration space is characterized by N integers $|\lambda'\rangle$ with $\lambda'_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda'_N \geq \lambda'_1 - n$.

The momentum $x = X_1 \cdots X_N$ translates the Toda positions λ'_k globally by one unit:

$$|\lambda'_1 \cdots \lambda'_N\rangle = x |\lambda'_1 - 1 \cdots \lambda'_N - 1\rangle, \tag{87}$$

In the sector where it is equal to the q-boson twist parameter (13), the periodic q-Toda chain transfer matrix (81) coincides with the transfer matrix (14) restricted to the sector of n Bosons.

In particular, the z coefficient is the right-mover Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1^{\rm per} &= S_1 \bar{S}_0 + S_2 \bar{S}_1 + \cdots S_N \bar{S}_{N-1} \\ &= X_1(1 - x_0/x_1) + X_2(1 - x_1/x_2) + \cdots + X_N(1 - x_{N-1}/x_N). \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

As in (18), we can redefine a Hermitian transfer matrix:

$$\Lambda^{\rm Toda,H}_{N,n}(z) = \Lambda^{\rm Toda}_{N,n}(z)/\sqrt{x z^N}. \tag{89}$$

In particular, $x\bar{H}_1 = H_{N-1}$, so H_1 commutes with his adjoint and both can therefore be diagonalized simultaneously.

In the appendix (A), using the equivalence with the q-boson problem, we obtain the Bethe equations for the spectrum and the corresponding eigenvectors which are Hall-Littlewood polynomials specialized at the Bethe roots. Notice however that the q-boson scalar product is associated to a Hermitian conjugation different from the one considered by Ruijsenaars for t a root of unity, namely $\bar{X}_k = X_k, \bar{x}_k = x_k$, and consequently, the diagonalization problem we solve is different from his. The Q-Matrix approach described in section (6.1) will be relevant in that case [24].

5.2 The Open chain

In the open case, we can as well represent A_N and its hermitian conjugated $\bar{A}_N$ (19) with the Toda variables. We set the boundary variables to be: $S_0 = 1, x_0 = 0$, $S_{N+1} = \infty, x_{N+1} = 1$, keeping the ratio $S_{N+1}/X_{N+1} = X_1 \cdots X_N$ finite. We consider the matrix element:

$$A_N = (T_N^B U_{N+1})_{12} = (T_N^{\rm Toda})_{11}, \tag{90}$$

So, A_N is the open q-Toda chain with N particles conserved quantities generating function [21]. In particular, the coefficient of z is the Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1^{\rm open} &= S_1 + S_2\bar{S}_1 + \cdots S_N\bar{S}_{N-1} \\ &= X_1 + X_2(1 - x_1/x_2) + \cdots + X_N(1 - x_{N-1}/x_N). \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

The Toda configurations $|\lambda'\rangle$, with $\lambda'_1 \geq \lambda'_2 \cdots \geq \lambda'_N \geq 0$ define partitions conjugated to the q-boson partition, $m_1 = \lambda'_1 - \lambda'_2, \cdots, m_{N-1} = \lambda'_{N-1} - \lambda'_N, m_N = \lambda'_N$.

Similarly, $\bar{A}_N(z) = z^{-(N+1)} S_{N+1}^{-1} (T_N^B U_{N+1})_{11}$ (19) is equal to:

$$\bar{A}_N(z) = (\bar{T}_N^{\rm Toda}(z))_{11} - (\bar{T}_N^{\rm Toda}(z))_{12}, \tag{92}$$

where we factorize $S_{N+1}/X_{N+1} = X_1 \cdots X_N$ and *define*

$$\bar{T}_N^{\rm Toda}(z) = z^{-N} S_N^{-1} T_N^{\rm Toda}(z)$$

as in (79) with $\bar{L}_k^{\rm Toda} = (zX_k)^{-1} L_k^{\rm Toda}(z)$:

$$\bar{L}_k^{\rm Toda}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \check{z}\check{X}_k & \check{z}\check{X}_k x_k \\ -\check{x}_k & . \end{pmatrix} \tag{93}$$

Notice that $\bar{T}_N^{\rm Toda}(z)$ is *not* the hermitian conjugated of $T_N^{\rm Toda}(z)$.

Restricting V to have N nonzero variables, $V = V_N$, $\Gamma_{L,+}(z)$ acts in the space with the first N sites occupied, and is equal to $\bar{A}_N(z)$. From (70) we see that $|R, V_N\rangle$ are its eigenvectors with eigenvalue $\tilde{\Omega}(V_N\check{z})$:

$$\bar{A}_N(z)|R, V_N\rangle = \tilde{\Omega}(V_N\check{z})|R, V_N\rangle, \tag{94}$$

and thus we have obtained the left eigenvectors of the open Toda chain transfer matrix.

The open Toda spin chain wave functions usually denote the (right) eigenvectors of $A_N(z)$ (20). To properly define them, it is necessary to relax the constraint $\lambda'_N \geq 0$ and allow the spins λ'_k to take integer values in order to be able to diagonalize S_N, its z^N coefficient. So, the definition of λ' needs to

be extended from partitions to sequences of decreasing integers. The homogeneity property [1] (4.17) p 325:

$$P_{\lambda+1^N}(V_N) = v_1 \cdots v_N P_\lambda(V_N) \tag{95}$$

enables to extend the definition of $|R, V\rangle$. From (63):

$$\langle R, \check{U}|S_N = \sum_\lambda P^\omega_{\lambda'+1^N}(\check{U})\langle\bar{\lambda}| = \check{u}_1 \cdots \check{u}_n \langle R, \check{U}|$$

we deduce:

$$\bar{S}_N|R, V\rangle = v_1 \cdots v_N |R, V\rangle. \tag{96}$$

We now can obtain the (right) eigenvectors of $A_N(z)$ (20) from those of $\bar{A}_N$ as follows. Let us denote $v = v_1 \cdots v_N$ the eigenvalue of $\bar{S}_N$, and $\bar{A}'_N(z, v)$ the restriction of $\bar{A}_N(z)$ to the space $S_N = v$. So, in $\bar{A}'_N(z, v)$ we substitute v to $\bar{S}_N$. We can factor $|R, V\rangle = (vS_N)^{-1}_\infty |R', V\rangle$ to obtain the eigenstate $|R', V\rangle$ of $\bar{A}'_N(z, v)$. Similarly, denoting $|W_h, V\rangle$ (W_h for Whittaker) the eigenstate of $A_N(z)$, and $A'_N(z, v)$ the restriction of A_N to the space $A_N = v$ where we substitute v to S_N. We can factor out $\delta(S_N/v)$ to obtain the eigenstate $|W'_h, V\rangle$ of $A'_N(z, v)$. We recover $A'_N(z, v)$ when we substitute S_{N-k}/v to S_k in the expression of $\bar{A}'_N(\check{z}, v)$. From this, the eigenvector of the Toda chain with the eigenvalue $\tilde{\Omega}(\check{U}_N z)$ is given by:

$$|W_h, U\rangle = \delta(u_1 \cdots u_N S_N)(u_1 \cdots u_N S_N)_\infty |R, U\rangle, \tag{97}$$

which means the inverse Pochhammer symbol must be replaced by a delta function. For example, the two body wave function is obtained by replacing $(S_2 v_1 v_2)^{-1}_\infty$ by $\delta(S_2 v_1 v_2)$ in (69).

6 Baxter Q Matrix

6.1 Diagonalization of the closed Toda chain Transfer matrix

In this section, we recover the periodic q-boson, equivalently the closed discretized Toda-chain Bethe equations using Baxter Q-Matrix approach [6] chapter 9, or [25][26] chapter 8.6. The results reported in this section have been obtained in collaboration with Olivier Babelon and Simon Ruisjenaars [24]. The q-Toda Q-matrix (116) was obtained in a previous work with Michel Gaudin [27] and related Q-matrices appear in recent works [16][28][29].

The Bethe roots (178) and the corresponding eigenvalues (179) are recovered as the solutions of the following divisibility problem (here specialized to the q-boson limit $s = 0$):

$$\Lambda_N(z)q_n(z) = q_n(tz) + xz^N t^n q_n(z/t), \tag{98}$$

where $\Lambda_N(z)$ and $q_n(z)$ are respectively degree N and n polynomials. The strategy to diagonalize the closed chain transfer matrix $\Lambda^{\rm Toda}_{N,n}(z)$ (81) consists in obtaining (98) as a matrix equation where $\Lambda_N(z) = \Lambda^{\rm Toda}_{N,n}(z),\ q_n(w)$ form a commuting family of polynomial matrices of the degree N and n respectively. One then recovers (98) as the scalar equation for their joined eigenvalues.

In simplest nontrivial case, $N = n = 2$, the configuration space is three dimensional ($\lambda'_0 = 2,\ \lambda'_2 = 0$) with the basis $|\lambda'_1\rangle$ where λ'_1 takes the values $2, 1, 0$, and the expressions of $\Lambda_2(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are given by:

$$\Lambda_2(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1+z^2x & (1-t)z & . \\ (1-t^2)zx & 1+z^2x & (1-t^2)z \\ . & (1-t)zx & 1+z^2x \end{pmatrix}, \tag{99}$$

$$q_2(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z & z^2 \\ -(1+t)zx & 1+z^2x & -(1+t)z \\ z^2x^2 & -zx & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{100}$$

Our aim here is to prove (98) in the general case and to relate the q-matrix to the Hall Pieri rules.

The first step is to obtain (98) as a vector equation. For this, we look for a null vector of a matrix conjugated to:

$$B_N = (T_D)_{12}\,, \tag{101}$$

where $T^{\rm Toda}_D = T_N D^{\rm Toda}$ and T_N is defined as:

$$T_N(z) = L^{\rm Toda}_1 \cdots L^{\rm Toda}_{2N+1}, \tag{102}$$

and $D^{\rm Toda}$ is the diagonal matrix: $(1, t^n)$ (82). For future convenience, in the definition of T_N, we have labeled the Toda Lax matrices (77) with odd numbers.

We perform a similarity transformation on the $L^{\rm Toda}_{2k+1}$ matrices defining:

$$L'_{2k+1}(z) = M_{2k} L_{2k+1}(z) M^{-1}_{2k+2}, \tag{103}$$

where M_{2k} is a triangular two by two matrix:

$$M_{2k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{2k} \\ . & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{104}$$

and $x_k = t^{\lambda'_k}$. So, if we denote T'_D the matrix obtained by substituting L'_{2k+1} to L_{2k+1} in T_D, we have $T'_D = M_0 T_D M_0^{-1}$.

We look for a null eigenvector ψ_{2k+1} of $(L'_{2k+1})_{12}$, which amounts to the condition:

$$(zX_{2k+1}(1 - \frac{x_{2k}}{x_{2k+1}}) + (1 - \frac{x_{2k+1}}{x_{2k+2}}))\psi_{2k+1} = 0, \tag{105}$$

with the solution:

$$\psi_{2k+1} = \sum_{\lambda'_{2k+1}} \psi^{\lambda'_{2k},\lambda'_{2k+2}}_{\lambda'_{2k+1}}(-z)|\lambda'_{2k+1}\rangle, \tag{106}$$

where the sum is for $\lambda'_{2k} \geq \lambda'_{2k+1} \geq \lambda'_{2k+2}$, and:

$$\psi^{a,c}_b(z) = z^{b-c}\binom{a-c}{a-b}_t \tag{107}$$

with $a \geq b \geq c$. Then, evaluating the action of the diagonal elements yields:

$$\begin{aligned} (L'_{2k+1})_{11}\psi_{2k+1}(z) &= \psi_{2k+1}(tz) \\ (L'_{2k+1})_{22}\psi_{2k+1}(z) &= zX_k\psi_{2k+1}(z/t). \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

So, given a set of decreasing integers $\lambda'_0 \geq \lambda'_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda'_{2N-2} \geq \lambda'_0 - n$, imposing the periodicity condition

$$M_0 = M_{2N} D^{\text{Toda}}, \tag{109}$$

equivalently $\lambda'_{2N} = \lambda'_0 - n$ a solution of:

$$(T'_D)_{12}\psi^{\{\lambda'_{2k}\}} = 0 \tag{110}$$

is given by:

$$\psi^{\{\lambda'_{2k}\}} = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_3 \cdots \otimes \psi_{2N-1}. \tag{111}$$

Due to the triangularity of $L'_{2k+1}\psi_{2k+1}$:

$$L'_{2k+1}\psi_{2k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{2k+1}(tz) & . \\ \star & zX_{2k+1}\psi_{2k+1}(z/t) \end{pmatrix} \tag{112}$$

the action of T'_D on $\psi^{\{\lambda'_{2k}\}}$ is a lower triangular two by two matrix the diagonal elements of of which are the respectively the direct product of the Lax matrix diagonal elements. Taking its trace, we recover (98) as a set of vector equations when we substitute $\psi^{\{\lambda'_{2k}\}}$ to q.

The second step is to organize the vectors $\psi^{\{\lambda'_{2k}\}}$ into a matrix, by requiring the commutation:

$$\Lambda(z)q(z) = q(z)\Lambda(z) \tag{113}$$

For this, let us rewrite (98) in a manifestly conjugate invariant way:

$$\Lambda^{\rm H} q^{\rm H}(z) = (t^n/xz^N)^{1/2} q^{\rm H}(tz) + (t^n xz^N)^{1/2} q^{\rm H}(z/t) \tag{114}$$

where $q = z^{n/2} q^{\rm H}$. So, due to the fact that two hermitian matrices commute if and only if their product is hermitian, (113) will hold if we can define $q^{\rm H}$ hermitian obeying (114).

In the Toda notations, the scalar product (5) is given by:

$$\langle \mu'_1 \cdots \mu'_N | \lambda'_1 \cdots \lambda'_N \rangle \;\; = \;\; \delta_{\lambda',\mu'} \prod_1^N (\lambda'_k - \lambda'_{k+1})!_t. \tag{115}$$

For example, the norm of the states $\lambda'_1 = 2, 1, 0$ in the $N = n = 2$ case are respectively $(t)_2, (t)_1^2, (t)_2$.

Consider the matrix q defined by:

$$q(z) = \sum_{\{\lambda'_{2l}\}} \psi^{\{\lambda'_{2l}\}} \langle \lambda'_{2l}| =$$

$$\sum_{\{\lambda'_l\}} |\lambda'_{2l+1}\rangle \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-z)^{\lambda'_{2k} - \lambda'_{2k+1}}}{(\lambda'_{2k} - \lambda'_{2k+1})!_t (\lambda'_{2k+1} - \lambda'_{2k+2})!_t} \langle \lambda'_{2l}| \tag{116}$$

where the sum is on decreasing sequences of integers $\lambda'_0 = n \geq \lambda'_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda'_{2N} = 0 \geq \lambda'_{2N+1} = \lambda'_1 - n$. We can bring λ'_1 to be equal to n in the bra using (87):

$$|\lambda'_1 \cdots \lambda'_{2N-1}\rangle = x^{-\lambda'_{2N+1}} |n, \lambda'_3 - \lambda'_{2N+1}, \cdots, \lambda'_{2N-1} - \lambda'_{2N+1}\rangle. \tag{117}$$

It defines a degree n polynomial matrix in z, the zero degree matrix elements being for $\lambda'_{2k+1} = \lambda'_{2k}$, and the maximal degree elements for $\lambda'_{2k} = \lambda'_{2k-1}$. It commutes with the translation $\mathcal{T}$ (16) acting on Toda configurations as:

$$\mathcal{T}|\lambda'_k\rangle = |\lambda'_{k+2}\rangle \tag{118}$$

$$q\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}q \tag{119}$$

and the matrix $q^{\rm H} = z^{-N/2} q$ obeys the hermitian relation:

$$\mathcal{T}\bar{q}^{\rm H} = q^{\rm H} \tag{120}$$

Since $\mathcal{T}$ commutes with Λ, (113) is satisfied. Moreover, since all matrices commute with the momentum x, the commutation holds in each finite dimensional momentum sector.

Returning to standard notations: $\mu'_k = \lambda'_{2k+1}, \nu'_{k+1} = \lambda'_{2k}$, $q(z)$ is given by an expression similar to (65):

$$q(z)|\nu\rangle \;=\; \sum_{\mu}(-z)^{\sum \nu'_k - \mu'_k} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \binom{\nu'_k - \nu'_{k+1}}{\nu'_k - \mu'_{k+1}}_t |\mu\rangle \tag{121}$$

where the sequences ν, μ are interlaced as: $\nu'_1 = n \geq \mu'_1 \cdots \geq \mu'_N \geq 0$.

Last, we must show that $q(u)$ commutes with $\Lambda(z)$ and $q(v)$. Here, we only show the first commutation by adapting an argument of Sklyanin [7].

Denote $\mathcal{N}$ the diagonal matrix of the norms:

$$\mathcal{N}|\mu\rangle = \langle\mu|\mu\rangle|\mu\rangle. \tag{122}$$

Given a matrix Λ, we denote its conjugated by $\mathcal{N}$ as:

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \mathcal{N}\Lambda\mathcal{N}^{-1}, \tag{123}$$

and so, the commutation relation to be shown becomes:

$$\Lambda(z)q(u)\mathcal{N}^{-1} = q(u)\mathcal{N}^{-1}\tilde{\Lambda}(z) \tag{124}$$

To show this relation, let us introduce a Lax operator $\mathbb{L}(z)$ and the corresponding monodromy matrix:

$$\mathbb{T}_N(z) = \mathbb{L}_1(z)\cdots\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{N}}(z) \tag{125}$$

to represent $q_N(z)$ as:

$$q_N(z)\mathcal{N}^{-1} = \mathrm{tr}_s(\mathbb{T}_N(-z)D^s), \tag{126}$$

and use (81) to represent Λ.

The condition:

$$R_{\sigma s}D^\sigma D^s = D^\sigma D^s R_{\sigma s} \tag{127}$$

where $D^\sigma = \mathrm{diag}(1, t^n)$ determines D^s (126) to be:

$$D^s = S^{-n} \tag{128}$$

From the definition of the hermitian conjugation, $\tilde{\Lambda} = {}^t\bar{\Lambda}(\check{z})$, is obtained by substituting $\tilde{L}^{\mathrm{Toda}}(z)$ to $L^{\mathrm{Toda}}(z)$ in (102), where $\tilde{L}^{\mathrm{Toda}}_k(z) = {}^t\bar{L}^{\mathrm{Toda}}_k(\check{z})$ with $\bar{L}^{\mathrm{Toda}}$ defined in (93):

$$\tilde{L}^{\mathrm{Toda}}_k(z) \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 1+zX_k & zx_kX_k \\ -x_k^{-1} & . \end{pmatrix}. \tag{129}$$

The commutation relation will then follow from the intertwining Yang-Baxter relation [3]:

$$R_{\sigma s}(z/u)L^{\rm Toda}_{\sigma x}(z)\mathbb{L}_{sx}(u) = \mathbb{L}_{sx}(u)\tilde{L}^{\rm Toda}_{\sigma x}(z)R_{\sigma s}(z/u) \tag{130}$$

The notation $L_{\sigma x}$, $\mathbb{L}_{sx}$, means the matrices act respectively in the direct product of the two dimensional σ space and the x spin space, the direct product of the s and x spin spaces.

Multiplying (130) by the permutation P_{xs} of x and s to the right, it rewrites:

$$R_{\sigma s}(z/u)L^{\rm Toda}_{\sigma x}(z)\check{\mathbb{L}}(u) = \check{\mathbb{L}}(u)\tilde{L}^{\rm Toda}_{\sigma s}(z)R_{\sigma x}(z/u) \tag{131}$$

where $\check{\mathbb{L}} = \mathbb{L}_{sx}P_{sx}$. If we take:

$$R_{\sigma s}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1+zS & s \\ -s^{-1}(1-S) & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{132}$$

This R-matrix is the q-deformed R-matrix of [25] (14.54) used by Sklyanin [7] in the Toda case, it also appears in Korff in a similar context [16]. The above relation rewrites in matrix form as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+z/uS & s \\ -s^{-1}(1-S) & -1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1+zX & x \\ -zXx^{-1} & . \end{pmatrix}\check{\mathbb{L}}(u) = \\ \check{\mathbb{L}}(u)\begin{pmatrix} 1+zS & zsS \\ -s^{-1} & . \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1+z/uX & x \\ -x^{-1}(1-X) & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{133}$$

which amounts to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mathbb{L}}x &= x\check{\mathbb{L}} \\ \check{\mathbb{L}}SX &= SX\check{\mathbb{L}} \\ \check{\mathbb{L}}x/s &= x/s(1-S)\check{\mathbb{L}} \\ u\check{\mathbb{L}}(1-s/x)S &= S\check{\mathbb{L}} \end{aligned} \tag{134}$$

to be satisfied with: $sS = tSs$ and $xX = tXx$. The solution is factorized as:

$$\check{\mathbb{L}}(u) = G(S)F_u(s/x) \tag{135}$$

where F, G satisfy Pochammer (q-Gamma) identity:

$$\begin{aligned} uF_u(s)(1-s)S &= SF(s) \\ (1-S)G(S)s &= sG(S) \end{aligned} \tag{136}$$

and are represented as follow in the basis where s is diagonal $s|n\rangle = t^n|n\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_u|n\rangle &= \check{u}^n/n!_t|n\rangle \\ G|n\rangle &= \sum_{k\geq n} 1/(k-n)!_t|k\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{137}$$

In matrix form:

$$\mathbb{L}_{sx}(u)|\nu_s,\nu_x\rangle = \sum_{\mu_s} \frac{\check{u}^{\nu_x-\nu_s}}{(\mu_s-\nu_x)!_t(\nu_x-\nu_s)!_t}|\mu_s,\nu_s\rangle, \tag{138}$$

with $\mu_s \geq \nu_x \geq \nu_s$.

Substituting (138), (128) in (126), we verify that $q(z)$ agrees with our previous expression (121), concluding the proof that $q(z)$ and $\Lambda(w)$ commute.

6.2 The Hall Pieri rule

In this section, we obtain the expression of the vertex operators $\Gamma_{R,\pm}$ (65) by solving the two hermitian conjugated relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{R,+}(u)\Gamma_{L,-}(z) &= (1+z\check{u})\Gamma_{L,-}(z)\Gamma_{R,+}(u) \\ \Gamma_{L,+}(z)\Gamma_{R,-}(u) &= (1+\check{z}u)\Gamma_{R,-}(u)\Gamma_{L,+}(z) \end{aligned} \tag{139}$$

The first equation tells us that if we iterate the action of $\Gamma_{L,-}(v_i)$ on the vacuum, we create the state $|L,V\rangle$ eigenstate of $\Gamma_{R,+}(z)$ with the eigenvalue $\tilde{\Omega}(\check{z}V)$. It is therefore equivalent to the Hall-Pieri rule (72). The second equation tells us that if we iterate the action of $\Gamma_{R,-}(v_i)$ on the vacuum, we create the ω-dual states $|R,V\rangle$, eigenstates of $\Gamma_{L,+}(z)$ with the same eigenvalue $\tilde{\Omega}(\check{z}V)$, closely connected to the open Toda chain eigenstates as we saw in section (5).

Let P_N denote the projector onto partitions $|\lambda\rangle$ having the multiplicity of 1, λ_1, less or equal to N: $\lambda'_{N+1}=0$, and P^M the projector onto partitions of length $l(\lambda)=\lambda'_1$ less or equal to M. By construction, $\Gamma_{L,-}P^M = P^{M+1}\Gamma_{L,-}P^M$, and the finite size q-boson transfer matrices defined in (19) are obtained by projection: $A^L_N = P_N\Gamma^L_- = P_N\Gamma^L_-P_N$.

We shall require $\Gamma_{R,\pm}$ to be hermitian conjugated:

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{R,-}(z) = \Gamma_{R,+}(z). \tag{140}$$

Define the finite size projections of $\Gamma_{R,+}$ to be $\bar{A}^R_N$ where $\bar{A}^R_N = P_N\Gamma^R_+ = P_N\Gamma^R_+P_{N+1}$, and require $\Gamma^R_+P_M = P_M\Gamma^R_+P_M$.

With these condition satisfied, the two equations (139) are conjugated. Dividing the first one by the norm matrix ($\mathcal{N}$) to its right and projecting with P_N to its left, it becomes:

$$(1+z\check{u})A_N^L(z)\bar{A}_N^R(u)\mathcal{N}^{-1} = \bar{A}_N^R(u)\mathcal{N}^{-1}\tilde{A}_{N+1}^L(z) \tag{141}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} A_N^L(z) &= T_N^{\text{Toda}}(z)_{11} \\ \tilde{A}_{N+1}^L(z) &= \tilde{T}_{N+1}^{\text{Toda}}(z)_{11} - \tilde{T}_{N+1}^{\text{Toda}}(z)_{12}. \end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

We take $\bar{A}_N^R(u)$ equal to:

$$\bar{A}_N^R(u) = (\mathbb{T}_{N+1}(u))_{\infty 0}\mathcal{N}. \tag{143}$$

In matrix form:

$$\bar{A}_N^R(u)|\lambda\rangle = \sum_{\mu}\prod_{k=1}^{N+1}\check{u}^{\lambda_k-\mu_k}\frac{(\lambda_k-\lambda_{k+1})!_t}{(\lambda_k-\mu_k)!_t(\mu_k-\lambda_{k+1})!_t}|\mu\rangle, \tag{144}$$

with $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \cdots \geq \mu_N \geq \lambda_{N+1} \geq \mu_{N+1} = 0$. $\bar{A}_N^R(u)$ satisfies the required commutation relations with P^M and P_N, and the corresponding $N=\infty$ matrix $\Gamma_{R,+}$ agrees with the definition (65) of the Hall Pieri rules.

To prove (141), consider the Matrix element of the Yang-Baxter equation (130):

$$R_{\sigma s}(z\check{u})(T_{N+1}^{\text{Toda}})_\sigma(z)(\mathbb{T}_{N+1})_s(u) = (\mathbb{T}_{N+1})_s(u)(\tilde{T}_{N+1}^{\text{Toda}})_\sigma(z)R_{\sigma s}(z\check{u}) \tag{145}$$

taken between the states $\langle 1|\otimes\langle\infty|$ and $|2\rangle\otimes|0\rangle$ in the direct product of the two dimensional σ-space with the spin s-space. We eliminate R on the left-hand side with $\langle 1|\otimes\langle\infty|R = (1+z\check{u})\langle 1|\otimes\langle\infty|$, and on the-right hand side with $R|2\rangle\otimes|0\rangle = (|1\rangle - |2\rangle)\otimes|0\rangle$. We also remove L_{N+1}^{Toda} on the left-hand side using $L_{N+1}^{\text{Toda}}|2\rangle = |1\rangle$, due to the zero value of the boundary spin: $\mu_{N+1}=0$. The resulting expression is equal to (141).

Notice that unlike the commutation relations between $\Gamma_{L,-}(z)$ and $\Gamma_{L,+}(u)$, the commutation relations between $\Gamma_{L,-}(z)$ and $\Gamma_{R,+}(u)$ are already satisfied in finite size. The commutations between $\Gamma_{R,\pm}$ and $\Gamma_{L,\pm}$ can be obtained along the same line and we shall study the commutations of $\Gamma_{R,\pm}$ between themselves elsewhere [30].

7 A remark on the Half infinite chain and the Gaudin determinant

In this section, we consider the scalar product of two XXZ Bethe states on a semi-infinite chain which Gaudin expressed using the determinant (155) [11]. Recently, Kirillov and Noumi [31], and Warnaar [32] obtained the same determinant by applying the Sekuiguchi-Debiard operator to the Cauchy identity (43). Betea and Wheeler [33] reinterpreted it as the scalar product of two q-boson wave functions on the semi-infinite chain. We present here an interpolating scalar product (We do not give the proof here, but the result can already be inferred from the two particle case) by extending the argument of [26][25] chapter 4. Appendix B to an arbitrary spin.

We now consider the SL_2 lax matrix reducing to (6) for $s = 0$:

$$(1 + zs)L^s(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + zK & zS^- \\ S^+ & z + K \end{pmatrix} \tag{146}$$

where:

$$K = s\tau,\ S^- = S^{-1}(1 - \tau),\ S^+ = S(1 - s^2\tau). \tag{147}$$

The scalar product such that S^- is the adjoint of S^+:

$$\langle n|m\rangle_s \quad = \quad (t)_m/(s^2)_m\delta_{n,m} \tag{148}$$

replaces (3).

The Bethe-Lieb eigenvectors of the semi-infinite chain take the form (168):

$$R^s_\mu(\check{U}_n) = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^n(1 + s\check{u}_k)} \sum_P B(\check{u}_i)\xi(\check{u}_1)^{\mu_1} \cdots \xi(\check{u}_n)^{\mu_n} \tag{149}$$

where P permutes the $\check{u}_k$, and $\xi(\check{u}_k)$, $B(\check{u}_i)$, are defined in (173), (175). The normalization prefactor is put for further convenience.

Notice that R^s_μ is proportional to the symmetrizer of the Hecke algebra $\Cup$ acting on the monomial $\prod_1^n \xi(\check{u}_i)^{\mu_i}$ where $\Cup$ defined as:

$$f \Cup (\check{u}_l) = \frac{(1 - t)^n}{n!_t} \sum_P f(\check{u}_1, \cdots, \check{u}_n) \prod_{i<j} \frac{\check{u}_i - t\check{u}_j}{\check{u}_i - \check{u}_j} \tag{150}$$

and the Hecke algebra is generated by:

$$f \Cup_k (\check{u}_l) = f(\cdots \check{u}_k, \check{u}_{k+1} \cdots)\frac{\check{u}_k - t\check{u}_{k+1}}{\check{u}_k - \check{u}_{k+1}} + (\check{u}_k \leftrightarrow \check{u}_{k+1}) \tag{151}$$

We consider the scalar product of two Bethe wave functions on the semi infinite line $\mu_k \geq 0$:

$$\Delta_n^s(\check{U}_n, V_n) = \sum_{0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n} \frac{1}{\langle \mu | \mu \rangle_s} R_\mu^s(\check{U}_n) R_\mu^s(V_n). \tag{152}$$

It is given by:

$$\Delta_n^s(\check{U}_n, V_n) = \frac{t^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}{(1-t)^n} \frac{D_n}{\delta_n} \tag{153}$$

where δ_n is the Cauchy determinant:

$$\delta_n = |\frac{1}{1 - t\check{u}_k v_l}|, \tag{154}$$

Δ_n the Gaudin determinant:

$$D_n = |\frac{1}{(1-\check{u}_k v_l)(1-t\check{u}_k v_l)}|. \tag{155}$$

Therefore, the scalar product which interpolates between the XXZ-chain for $ts^2 = 1$ and the Hall-Littlewood polynomials for $s = 0$ does not depend on the spin.

Kirillov, Noumi and Warnaar obtained the q-deformation of the Hall-Littlewood formula as:

$$\sum_\lambda P_\lambda^{qt}(V_n) Q_\lambda^{qt}(\check{U}_n) \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^{\lambda_k} t^{n-k+1}) = \Omega(\frac{1-t}{1-q} q V_n \check{U}_n) t^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} (1-t)^n \frac{D_n}{\delta_n} \tag{156}$$

where P^{qt} is the Macdonald polynomial [1] and $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$. Indeed, if one sets $q = 0$ in the preceding expression, using (51), it rewrites:

$$\sum_\lambda \frac{R_\lambda(V_n) R_\lambda(\check{U}_n)}{\langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle} = \frac{t^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}{(1-t)^n} \frac{D_n}{\delta_n} \tag{157}$$

which is (153) for $s = 0$.

Lascoux [34] reduced the $q \neq 0$ case to $q = 0$ as follows. The only q dependence of the right hand side of (156) comes from the first factor, and we can also factor it out from the left hand side. Representing the product as the eigenvalue of a Dunkl operator [35]) enables to rewrite the left hand side as a modification of the Cauchy Kernel equal to:

$$\Omega(\frac{1-t}{1-q} \check{U}_n V_n)(1 - t\tau_q) \cdots (1 - t^n \tau_q) \Uparrow \tag{158}$$

with $\check{u}_i\tau_q = \check{u}_{i+1}$ and $\check{u}_{n+1} = q\check{u}_1$.

Let $X_n = X_k + \bar{X}_k$ where $X_k = \{x_1, \cdots x_k\}$, $\bar{X}_k = \{x_{k+1}, \cdots x_n\}$. We have:

$$\Omega(\frac{1-t}{1-q}X_n)\tau_q^k = \Omega(\frac{1-t}{1-q}(qX_k + \bar{X}_k))$$

where τ_q is defined as above with $\check{u} \to x$. Substituting $qX_k + \bar{X}_k = qX_n + (1-q)\bar{X}_k$, we deduce it is also equal to:

$$\Omega((1-t)X_n)\tau_0^k\Omega(q\frac{1-t}{1-q}X_n). \tag{159}$$

So, (158) is also equal to:

$$\Omega((1-t)V_n\check{U}_n)(1-t\tau_0)\cdots(1-t^n\tau_0)\,\Cup\,\Omega(\frac{1-t}{1-q}qV_n\check{U}_n) \tag{160}$$

reducing $q \neq 0$ to:

$$\Omega((1-t)V_n\check{U}_n)(1-t\tau_0)\cdots(1-t^n\tau_0)\Cup = t^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}(1-t)^n\frac{D_n}{\delta_n} \tag{161}$$

which is (157).

8 Conclusions and perspectives

In this paper, we have studied the q-boson model and a discretized version of the Toda lattice on finite and semi infinite chains in relation with the theory of the Hall-Littlewood multi-variable orthogonal polynomials. We have observed that the semi infinite transfer matrices are vertex operators with Cauchy type commutation relations.

As a very interesting application, we observe that the q-boson model appears via the Hall-Pieri rules when studying the current fluctuations of the Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process, as explained by Lazarescu in part III of his thesis [36].

Although most of the semi infinite chain results are straightforward to extend to the Macdonald case, the underlying statistical models seem not to be expressible in terms of local Boltzmann weights. However, some important simplifications occur with the Hall-Pieri rules [37] which suggest that these Macdonald lattice models are simpler than they appear. One can also run the process backwards to define them on finite lattices. It will be interesting to investigate how the finite size version is connected to the Yang-Baxter equation and the possibility to use the Bethe-ansatz to obtain its spectrum.

Another point not touched here is the fact that the components of the eigenvectors are also eigenstates of the Sekuiguchi-Debiard operators.

The very simple discretized Toda chain we have introduced here can be diagonalized by coordinate Bethe-Anzats. It does not satisfy the more physical Hermitian condition of the Ruijsenaars q-Toda chain. Although we cannot use the coordinate Bethe-ansatz in that case, we expect that the Q-matrix diagonalization of section (6) reveals useful as for the Toda chain [38] (see for example [39][24]).

Acknowledgement

V. Pasquier is grateful to Junichi Shiraishi for numerous friendly discussions, in particular for explaining him the Pieri rules.

We also thank Olivier Babelon, Jérémie Bouttier, Sylvie Corteel, Alexandre Lazarescu and Simon Ruisjenaars for illuminating discussions.

Part of this work was done during the workshop "Beyond Integrability" held at the CRM, Montreal.

A Bethe-Lieb diagonalization

In this appendix, we partially diagonalize the transfer matrix (12) of the spin chain considered in section (7) by adapting the discussion of [26] [25] section 7.3. or [6] sections 8.2-4 to this case. The Bethe-Lieb technique has the advantage to provide an explicit expression of the eigenfunctions (which are studied thoroughly in [40] in the infinite chain limit).

The monodromy matrix is:

$$T_N^s(z) = L_0^s L_1^s \cdots L_{N-1}^s \tag{162}$$

where L^s is defined by (146), we want to find the left eigenvectors of:

$$\Lambda_{N,X}^s(z) = \text{Tr } T_N^s(z) D \tag{163}$$

with $D = (1, X)$ (13).

In the sector of M Bosons, the eigenvalue equation writes:

$$\sum_\lambda R_\lambda(U)(\Lambda_N^s)_{\lambda\mu}(z) = \tilde{\Lambda}_N^s(zU) R_\mu(U) \tag{164}$$

where $l(\mu) = l(\lambda) = M$. We limit our treatment to the states μ such that the lattice sites are occupied by at most one Boson. All the parts μ_k are distinct:

$m_i(\mu) \leq 1$. Therefore, the only λ which contribute to the sum are those for which $m_i(\lambda) \leq 2$.

We define the Bolzman weights:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \omega_1 = 1 + zs & \omega_3 = z + s & \omega_5 = z(1-t) \\ \omega_2 = z + ts & \omega_4 = 1 + zts & \omega_6 = 1 - s^2 \qquad \omega_0 = 1 - s^2 t. \end{array} \tag{165}$$

The matrix elements for λ's with all parts unequal ($\lambda_i \neq \lambda_{i+1}$ for all i) can be written as:

$$\Lambda^s |\mu\rangle = \prod_1^M D_{\mu_i \lambda_i} \bar{D}_{\lambda_i \mu_{i-1}} |\lambda\rangle \tag{166}$$

where $\mu_0 = \mu_M + N$, and:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} D_{mn} & = & \omega_3^{n-m-1}\omega_6, \ m < n \\ & & \omega_4/\omega_5, \ m = n \\ \bar{D}_{nm} & = & \omega_1^{m-n-1}\omega_5, \ n < m \\ & & \omega_2/\omega_6, \ n = m. \end{array} \tag{167}$$

To properly evaluate the matrix elements $\Lambda^s_{\lambda\mu}$ when the partitions λ has equal parts, we must correct the boundary terms $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i-1} = \mu_{i-1}$ by substituting $\omega_0\omega_5$ to $\omega_2\omega_4$ for each occurrence of $\bar{D}_{\mu_i\mu_i} D_{\mu_i\mu_i}$.

For the eigenvector, we take the ansatz:

$$R_\mu = \sum_{P \in S_M} B(P) \xi_{P_1}^{\mu_1} \cdots \xi_{P_M}^{\mu_M} \tag{168}$$

where $B(P)$ are amplitudes to be determined, and we assume it is valid for $\mu_0 \geq \mu_1 \cdots \geq \mu_M$.

Let us write the (incorrect) eigenvalue equation as if (166) was still valid for λ's with equal parts:

$$(RA^s)_\mu = \sum_{P \in S_M} B(P) \sum_{\mu_i \leq \lambda_i \leq \mu_{i-1}} \prod_1^M D_{\mu_i \lambda_i} \bar{D}_{\lambda_i \mu_{i-1}} \xi_{P_i}^{\lambda_i}. \tag{169}$$

For a fixed permutation, we can effect the sum over each λ_i independently in (169), and using:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \displaystyle\sum_{m_2 \leq n \leq m_1} D_{m_2 n} \bar{D}_{n m_1} \xi^n & = & (\frac{\xi\omega_5\omega_6}{\omega_1 - \xi\omega_3} + \omega_4)\omega_1^{m_2 - m_1 - 1}\xi^{m_2} + (\omega_2 - \frac{\omega_5\omega_6}{\omega_1 - \xi\omega_3})\omega_3^{m_2 - m_1 - 1}\xi^{m_1} \\ & = & X_2 + Y_1 \end{array} \tag{170}$$

where the subscript of X, Y coincides with that of the exponent of ξ, we get for $P = 1$:

$$\sum_{\mu_i \leq \lambda_i \leq \mu_{i-1}} \prod_1^M D_{\mu_i \lambda_i} \bar{D}_{\lambda_i \mu_{i-1}} \xi_i^{\lambda_i} = \overbrace{(X_M + Y_{M-1})(X_{M-1} \cdots Y_1)(X_1 + Y_0)} \quad (171)$$

where it is understood that $X_i + Y_{i-1}$ is a function of ξ_i. To recover the correct eigenvalue equation, the term $\omega_2 \omega_4$ in the products $\overbrace{Y_i X_i}$ needs to be replaced by $\omega_0 \omega_5$.

We can choose the amplitudes $B(P)$ such that after the summation over the permutations, only the terms $X_1 \cdots X_M$, $Y_0 \cdots Y_{M-1}$, survive. this will be the case if we can cancel the terms $Y_i X_i$ by requiring:

$$B(P)\overbrace{Y_i(\xi_{P_{i+1}})X_i}(\xi_{P_i}) + B(P(i,i+1))\overbrace{Y_i(\xi_{P_i})X_i}(\xi_{P_{i+1}}) = 0 \quad (172)$$

where (i, j) denotes the permutation of i with j. The product $(\xi_{P_i} \xi_{P_{i+1}})^{\lambda_i}$ factors out, and using the Orbach parametrization:

$$\xi_i = \xi(u_i) = \frac{u_i + s}{1 + u_i s} \quad (173)$$

the condition (172) becomes:

$$\frac{B(P)}{B(P(i,i+1))} = -\frac{u_{P_i} - t u_{P_{i+1}}}{u_{P_{i+1}} - t u_{P_i}} \quad (174)$$

with the solution $B(P) = B(u_{P_1}, \cdots, u_{P_M})$:

$$B(u_k) = \prod_{i<j} \frac{u_i - t u_j}{u_i - u_j} \quad (175)$$

In the closed chain case, we must take into account the periodicity conditions $S^+_{k+N} = X S^+_k$, $S^-_{k+N} = X^{-1} S^-_k$, which impose:

$$R_{\mu_{n+N}, \mu_1, \cdots \mu_{n-1}} = X R_{\mu_1, \cdots \mu_n}, \quad (176)$$

or:

$$B(P) \xi_{P1}^N = X B(PC) \quad (177)$$

where $C_k = k + 1$. Then, using (174) to express $B(PC)/B(P)$ as a product over the two-body scatterings, this condition gives the Bethe equations determining the u_i's:

$$X \xi(u_k)^{-N} = \prod_{l \neq k} \frac{u_k - t u_l}{t u_k - u_l}, \quad (178)$$

and the eigenvalue is the prefactor of $\prod \xi_i^{\lambda_i}$ in $X_1 \cdots X_M + Y_0 \cdots Y_{M-1}$ in (171) equal to:

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_N^s(zU) = \omega_1^N \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{1 - ztu_i}{1 - zu_i} + X\omega_3^N t^M \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{1 - zu_i/t}{1 - zu_i}. \tag{179}$$

The preceding discussion only proves the validity of the ansatz (168) with $B(P)$ given by (175) for configurations λ with $m_k(\lambda) \leq 2$, and we will assume it is correct for $m_k(\lambda)$ arbitrary nonnegative integers.

References

[1] Macdonald I G 1999 *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials* 2nd ed (Oxford: Oxford University Press) ISBN 9780198504504

[2] Szego G 1939 *Orthogonal Polynomials* 4th ed (Providence: American Mathematical Society) ISBN 9780821810231

[3] Faddeev L D 1996 *arXiv:hep-th/9605187* ArXiv: hep-th/9605187 URL `http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9605187`

[4] Kulish P P and Damaskinsky E V 1990 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** L415 ISSN 0305-4470 URL `http://stacks.iop.org/0305-4470/23/i=9/a=003`

[5] Tsilevich N V 2005 *arXiv:math-ph/0510073* ArXiv: math-ph/0510073 URL `http://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0510073`

[6] Baxter R 2008 *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics* dover publications inc. ed (Mineola, New-York) ISBN 13: 9780486462714

[7] Sklyanin E K 2000 *CRM Proceedings & Lecture Notes* **26** 227–250

[8] Gerasimov A, Lebedev D and Oblezin S 2009 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **294** 97–119 ISSN 0010-3616, 1432-0916 URL `http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00220-009-0917-y`

[9] Lascoux A *Symmetric Functions and Combinatorial Operators on Polynomials* (American Mathematical Soc.) ISBN 9780821889435

[10] Garsia A, Haiman M and Tesler G 1999 *Séminaire Lotharingiens de Combinatoire B42m*

[11] Gaudin M 1971 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **12** 1677–1680

[12] Bogoliubov N M, Izergin A G and Kitanine N A 1998 *Nuclear Physics B* **516** 501–528 ISSN 0550-3213 URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0550321398000388

[13] van Diejen J F and Emsiz E 2014 *Journal of Functional Analysis* **266** 5801–5817 ISSN 0022-1236 URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022123614000391

[14] Okounkov A and Reshetikhin N 2003 *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** 581–603 ISSN 0894-0347, 1088-6834 URL http://www.ams.org/jams/2003-16-03/S0894-0347-03-00425-9/

[15] Betea D, Boutillier C, Bouttier J, Chapuy G, Corteel S and Vuletić M 2014 *arXiv:1407.3764 [cond-mat]* ArXiv: 1407.3764 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.3764

[16] Korff C 2012 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **318** 173–246 ISSN 0010-3616, 1432-0916 URL http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00220-012-1630-9

[17] Borodin A, Corwin I, Petrov L and Sasamoto T 2015 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **339** 1167–1245 ISSN 0010-3616, 1432-0916 URL http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00220-015-2424-7

[18] Wheeler M and Zinn-Justin P 2015 *arXiv:1508.02236 [math-ph]* ArXiv: 1508.02236 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.02236

[19] Lascoux A 2007 *arXiv:0709.2088 [math]* ArXiv: 0709.2088 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.2088

[20] Boutillier C, Bouttier J, Chapuy G, Corteel S and Ramassamy S 2015 *arXiv:1504.05176 [cond-mat, physics:math-ph]* ArXiv: 1504.05176 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.05176

[21] Ruijsenaars S N M 1990 *Comm. Math. Phys.* **133** 217–247 ISSN 0010-3616, 1432-0916 URL http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104201396

[22] Gerasimov A, Lebedev D and Oblezin S 2008 *arXiv:0805.3754 [math]* ArXiv: 0805.3754 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.3754

[23] Gerasimov A, Lebedev D and Oblezin S 2013 *Lett Math Phys* **104** 115–139 ISSN 0377-9017, 1573-0530 URL http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11005-013-0659-9

[24] Babelon O, Pasquier V and Ruijsenaars S Quantization of the Relativistic Toda Chain, in preparation.

[25] Gaudin M and Caux J S *The Bethe Wave Function* (Cambridge CB2 8BS, UK: Cambridge University Press) ISBN 978-1-107-04585-9 Hardback

[26] Gaudin M 1981 *La Fonction d'onde de Bethe* (Paris ; New York: Elsevier Masson) ISBN 9782225796074

[27] Gaudin M and Pasquier V 1993 *R.C.P. 25 (IRMA)* **44** 163–174

[28] Zullo F 2015 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48** 125205 ISSN 1751-8121 URL http://stacks.iop.org/1751-8121/48/i=12/a=125205

[29] Korff C 2015 *arXiv:1508.06595 [math-ph, physics:nlin]* ArXiv: 1508.06595 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.06595

[30] Duval A PhD thesis

[31] Kirillov A N and Noumi M *Duke Math. J.* **93** 1–39 ISSN 0012-7094, 1547-7398 URL http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.dmj/1077230635

[32] Warnaar S O 2008 *Compositio Math.* **144** 271–303 arXiv: math/0511333 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0511333

[33] Betea D and Wheeler M 2016 *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **137** 126–165 ISSN 0097-3165 URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0097316515001089

[34] Lascoux A 2007 *arXiv:0709.1635 [math]* ArXiv: 0709.1635 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.1635

[35] Bernard D, Gaudin M, Haldane F D M and Pasquier V 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** 5219 ISSN 0305-4470 URL http://stacks.iop.org/0305-4470/26/i=20/a=010

[36] Lazarescu A 2013 *arXiv:1311.7370 [cond-mat]* ArXiv: 1311.7370 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.7370

[37] Garsia A M, Haglund J, Xin G and Zabrocki M 2014 *arXiv:1407.7916 [math]* ArXiv: 1407.7916 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7916

[38] Pasquier V and Gaudin M 1992 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25** 5243 ISSN 0305-4470 URL http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/25/20/007

[39] Kharchev S, Lebedev D and Semenov-Tian-Shansky M 2002 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **225** 573–609 ISSN 0010-3616, 1432-0916 URL http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s002200100592

[40] Borodin A 2014 *arXiv:1410.0976 [math]* ArXiv: 1410.0976 URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.0976